

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/585,086 | 05/27/2009 | Hisashi Ohashi | 09812.0108 | 7691 | |
| 22852 FINNEGAN 1 | 7590 05/25/201 HENDERSON FARAE | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP | | | MONK, MARK T | | |
| 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | 2622 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/25/2011 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/585,086 | OHASHI ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| MARK MONK | 2622 | |

| | MARK MONK | 2622 | |
|--|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 May 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | | • | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following papplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavitial (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this As no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1). | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejectio | n. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued 87 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filled on A brief in complete in the co | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic e of the final rejection, ev | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as en if timely filed, |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); | E below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | | | |
| | | cieu ciairis. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | OTOL 0041 |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpilant Amendment (i | OL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | • |
| 7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an ex | planation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-9,11-17. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fails | to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Sinh Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622 | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The underlined text of the amended claims would require further consideration and/or search.